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## C++11

- C++11 was standardized in 2011. You may see references to $\mathrm{C}++0 \mathrm{x}$ in old documentation.
- It incorporates useful constructs from the Boost libraries.
- New container types.
- Lambda functions
- Features geared towards ease of coding and optimization.
- These lectures assume that you are a reasonably competent C++ user.
- Check what version of C++ your compiler defaults to.
- For gcc use the $--s t d=c++11$ flag.


## C++14

- C++14 was finalised in December 2014, and is largely a series of fixes and clarifications to the $\mathrm{C}++11$ standard.
- Occasionally you may see reference to C++1y in old documentation written before the publication date was known.
- For gec use the $--s t d=c++14$ flag.
- From gcc version 6.1 onwards, $\mathrm{C}++14$ is the default.


## C++17

- C++17 was finalised in December 2017, and is largely a series of fixes and clarifications to the $\mathrm{C}++11$ standard.
- Occasionally you may see reference to $\mathrm{C}++1 \mathrm{z}$ in old documentation written before the publication date was known.
- For gcc use the $--s t d=c++17$ flag.
- gcc-8 is feature complete for $\mathrm{C}++17$, but $\mathrm{C}++14$ remains the default.


## References

- Bjarne's guide to differences between C++03 and C++11: http://www.stroustrup.com/C++11FAQ.html
- Bjarne Stroustrup, "The C++ Programming Language", 4th Edition, Addison Wesley
- Effective Modern C++, Scott Meyer, O'Reilly, 2014
- http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp - has clear indication of which features are in $\mathrm{C}++03, \mathrm{C}++11, \mathrm{C}++14, \mathrm{C}++17$ (and $\mathrm{C}++20$ )
- See https://gcc.gnu.org/projects/cxx-status.html for list of features implemented in gcc for each standard.


## Standards documents

- The ISO C++ Standards are published by the International Organization for Standardization.
- The certified standards documents are only available to buy, at 600 GBP each.
- However, see https://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/links for links to the final working drafts, which are a very good approximation to the final version.
- (This is not dissimilar from the way you can make your papers freely accessible via www.data.cam.ac.uk without violating the publishing journal's terms and conditions.)


## Examples

- Virtually every topic in this course has an associated example code in the Examples directory.
- Running make all will compile all tests.
- In some cases I have used the macro AVOID_INTENTIONAL_ERRORS to allow me to check for correct compilation.
- make example_name will compile without errors.
- If you run make EXPOSE_ERRORS=1 example_name then this may show various (expected) errors.
- If compiling the later $\mathrm{C}++14 / 17$ features, you will need to specify: CXX=/lsc/opt/gcc-8.2.0/bin/g++-8 as well (or some other compiler with similar support).


## Makefile interlude

While writing this part I discovered that Makefiles allow target-specific variable definitions:

```
$(TARGETS): CXXSTD := -std=c++11
$(TARGETS14): CXXSTD := -std=c++14
$(TARGETS17): CXXSTD := -std=c++17
%: %.C
                        $(CXX) $(CXXFLAGS) $ (CXXSTD) $< - $ $@
```

means that only targets defined in \$(TARGETS14) will have the $-s t d=c++14$ option, and similarly for C++17.

## Detecting C++11

- If you write code that requires C++11 syntax, and someone accidentally compiles with a C++03 compiler, then they will have a large number of errors.
- A simple way to detect whether you have a $\mathrm{C}++11$ compiler is:

```
#if --cplusplus < 201103L
#error This program requires a C++11 compiler.
#endif
```

which will error out early on, at the pre-processing stage.

- The equivalent numbers for all versions are:
- 199711L (C++98 or C++03)
- 201103L (C++11)
- 201402L (C++14)
- 201703L (C++17)
- These are defined by the C++ standard, so are guaranted to work (or at least indicate that the compiler claims to be compliant with that standard).
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## NULL pointer

- In C++03 you would use NULL or 0 to represent an undefined pointer.
- In C++11 you should use nullptr instead.
- This provides for better readability and for distinctness in overloading to accept either an integer or a pointer.
- See Examples/null.C


## enum classes

- In C++03 we had enums:
enum Colour\{Red, Green, Blue\};
- which defines a type Colour at global scope that implicitly converts to an int:

Colour b = Blue; int $r=$ Red;

- In C++11 we have a strongly typed enum class:
enum class ProperColour : char \{ Cyan, Magenta, Yellow, Black \};
- which defines a type ProperColour with its own scope that uses a char to store its value, but does not implicitly convert to an char.


## enum classes ctd

- For example, the following are OK:

```
ProperColour c = ProperColour::Cyan;
char y = (char)ProperColour::Yellow;
```

- The following are not OK:
char $\mathrm{c}=$ Cyan;
char c2 $=$ ProperColour::Cyan; ProperColour m = Magenta;
- See Examples/enum.C


## Template closing brackets

- A problem you may not have realised you had:
std: :vector<std::pair<int,int>> a;
is invalid in C++03.
- In $\mathrm{C}++03 \gg$ is interpreted as a right-shift operator, following the "maximal munch" principle.
- In C++03 you need a space between the two > brackets.
- From C++11 the above syntax is valid.
- In some (fairly contrived) cases, this may cause code to give different results under C++11 and C++03.
- See http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/ 2005/n1757.html
- See Examples/maxMunch.C.
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## Constant values

- Template parameters (for example) must have their values known at compile-time.
- In C++03 we are restricted to using static const int members and using recursive templates if we want to do any complex calculations.
- In $\mathrm{C}++11$ we can declare a function to be a constexpr, specifying that it can be evaluated at compile-time:

```
constexpr int triang(int n) {
    return (n>1) ? n + triang(n-1) : 1;
}
```

- We can then use it as a template parameter (Examples/constexpr.C):
template<int D>
struct Vector\{
double m_data[D];
\};

Vector<triang (5) > a;

## Constant values

- In C++11 there are restrictions to using constexpr:
- It must consist of a single return statement.
- It must not contain any local variables.
- It must not have side-effects, e.g. modifying a global variable.
- These are somewhat relaxed at $\mathrm{C}++14$.
- A constexpr function can be used to initialize any static const member.


## Constant values - non-integral

- Note that non-integral static const members are now permitted in $\mathrm{C}++11$, and can be initialized inside the class:

```
constexpr double expon(double n){
    return exp(n);
}
template<int D>
struct Vector{
    static constexpr double m_val = expon(D);
};
```

- See Examples/constexprfloat.C


## constexpr functions

- In C++11 constexpr functions were very restricted in their form, and essentially had to be a series of arithmetic expressions.
- In C++14 constexpr functions can be any function that does not contain:
- goto
- try-block
- Uninitialized variables or static variables.
and does not require the evaluation of
- undefined behaviour,
- lambda expressions,
- exception handling (catch/throw)
- new, delete, dynamic_cast, and similar.

These could be in the function, but not be part of the execution path followed on evaluation.

- For the full list see https://isocpp.org/files/papers/N3652.html


## constexpr functions

- Therefore, from C++14 we can have:

```
constexpr int factorial(int n){
    int f = 1;
    for(int }x=1 ; x <= n ; x++){
        f *= x;
    }
    return f;
}
```

- See constexpr_14.C for full example.
- This includes an example of signed char overflow that I think should fail to compile (since undefined behaviour should not be permitted), although gcc-8 and clang-6 allow it.
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## Uniform initialization

- If we want to initialize members of a class at construction time, we can use:

```
struct A{
    double x;
    double y;
};
struct B{
    B() : a{1.0, 3.1}, c{4.2}
    {
    }
    A a;
    double c;
};
```

- where previously we would have had to initialize the elements of a within the body of $B()$, or via a constructor for $A$.
- This brings improvements for initializing const member data.


## Uniform initialization

- Further, we can initialize elements of a newly allocated array: A* data $=$ new $A[2]\{\{1.0,9.8\},\{3.2,9.1\}\}$; although this is not very well self-documenting.
- See Examples/uniform_init.C for full details.


## Initializer lists

- In C++03 initializing a std: :vector of values was annoying: std::vector<int> a(4);
$a[0]=1 ; a[1]=2 ; a[2]=3 ; a[3]=5 ;$
(Even initializing from int $\mathrm{a} 2[4]=\{1,2,3,5\}$ is awkward.)
- There is an easier way in $\mathrm{C}++11$ :
std::vector<int> a\{1, 2, 3, 5\};
- This works for std::list as well.
- Similarly, for a std: :map:
std::map<int, double> b\{ \{1, M_PI\}, \{2, M_E\}, \{6, 9.80665\} \};
which is using uniform initialization for individual std: :pair elements, and an initializer list overall.
- See Examples/init-list.C


## Initializer list constructors

- How can you use this syntax in your own constructors?
- We would like to have:

Matrix $\operatorname{rotate}\{\{\cos (t h e t a),-\sin (t h e t a)\}$,

$$
\{+\sin (\text { theta) }, \cos (\text { theta) }\}\} ;
$$

- $\mathrm{C}++11$ provides a special type which is passed to constructors: std::initializer_list, requiring the header \#include <initializer_list>
- This acts as a generic container, which can be iterated over, with the bare minimum of begin(), end(), size()


## Initializer list constructors

For a $2 \times 2$ matrix class:

```
Matrix::Matrix(std::initializer_list<
                std::pair<double, double>> args){
        size_t i=0;
        for(auto it = args.begin() ; it != args.end() ; ++it, ++i){
            data[i][0] = (*it).first;
    data[i][1] = (*it).second;
    }
}
```

Matrix $m\{\{0,1\},\{3,4\}\}$;
(auto will be introduced shortly.)

- For each pair of doubles in the provided list, we set the elements of data[2] [2].
- See Examples/init-list.C
- This could be extended to generic-sized matrices via an initializer_list<initializer_list<double>>
- See Examples/init-list-general.C
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## Automatic type declarations

- Consider the following C++03 code:

```
int countPassengers(const std::list<const Vehicle*>& vehicles){
    int numPass = 0;
    for(std::list<const Vehicle*>::const_iterator
            it = vehicles.begin(); it != vehicles.end(); ++it){
        numPass += it->numPass();
    }
    return numPass;
}
```

- The type of it is complicated to type, and adds length to the code line without adding useful information.
- In C++11 we can type:

```
for(auto it = vehicles.begin() ;
    it != vehicles.end() ; ++it ){
```

- The auto keyword declares the variable it to be the exact type on the right of the equality.
- (For an even shorter approach see later slides.)


## Automatic type declarations ctd

- The std: :max function takes the form:
template<typename $T>\max ($ const $T \& ~ t 1$, const $T \& ~ t 2)\{\ldots\}$ which causes an error if you try to call std: : max (1, 2.0).
- The solution is to use std: :max<double> (1, 2.0).
- Consider trying to write your own version:
template<typename T1, typename T2>
TYPE max (const T1\& t1, const T2\& $t 2$ ) \{
return (t1 > t2) ? t1 : t2;
\}
- The problem is: what goes in place of the TYPE?
- It can't be T1 or T2 themselves.
- We can use typename std::common_type<T1, T2>::type
- This is defined to be the resulting type of (true) ? t1 : t 2 .
- (Strictly it's (true) ? declval<T1>() : declval<T2>())
- See Examples/max.C


## Automatic type declarations ctd

- A similar problem to the above is trying to write:
template<typename $T$, typename $S>$
?? operator* (const std:: vector $<T>\& ~ v, ~ c o n s t ~ S \& ~ S) ~$
i.e. multiplication of a vector class with elements of type T by a scalar of type $S$.
- What if $T=$ int and $S=$ double?
- You could start using std: :common_type but this may not work if you have your own types.
- For example, consider a vector containing elements of type Matrix that all need to be multiplied by a scalar.
- std::common_type is not defined for this.


## Automatic type declarations ctd

- The solution is auto combined with decltype:

```
template<typename T, typename S>
```

auto operator*(const std: : vector $<T>\& v$, const $S \& S$ ) $\rightarrow$
std: : $\operatorname{vector}<\operatorname{decltype}(\mathrm{T}\{ \} * \operatorname{S}\{ \})>\{\ldots\}$

- This syntax declares the result to be a std::vector of the type that would result from the product of scalars of types T and S .
- Equivalently, use decltype (v[0] * s); the function parameters can be used, hence this has to come at the end of the line.
- See Examples/vector.C

