Part IV

Compiling, Linking, and Makefiles

- You (usually) write your code in human-readable form
- Compilation turns this into machine-executable code
- There are various steps taken by the compiler to do this.
- Here I cover only C(++) and Fortran as done by gcc.
- Other compilers should have very similar options.

• The most simple example:

```
gcc myProgram.C -o myProgram
```

compiles a single file myProgram.C into an executable myProgram.

- gcc detects, based on file-extension (.C here) the language it should assume (C++ here).
- Alternatives are: .f, .f90, .F, .c, .cxx, .cpp, and more to compile C, C++, Fortran, etc.
- You can force gcc to assume a particular language when compiling, ignoring the file extension, but it's best to stick with convention.

Single file compilation

- The compiler goes through many stages in compiling even a single file:
- Preprocessor processes **#include**, **#define**, etc. to generate a single file (C/C++ only)
- Parser turns code into an internal representation of the program
- Optimizer Analyzes the parsed code and identifies and applies possible optimizations
- Compilation Turns the parsed code into assembly language
- Assembly Turns the assembly language into executable machine code

- Preprocessor: Passing -E will emit preprocessed source-code
- Parser: Output not available. This representation is for compiler developers only.
- Optimizer: Try the option **-fopt-info-all** to see a detailed optimization report
- ${\circ}\$ Compilation Passing ${\neg} {\tt S}$ will emit assembly language
- $\, \circ \,$ Assembly Default is to output compiled machine-code (use -c)

- If you have inter-dependent functions in separate files (for readability) then we need an extra stage.
- For each source file, pass -c to the compiler to generate an object file .o.
- For example:

```
gcc -c myFile.C -o myFile.o
gcc -c myFile2.C -o myFile2.o
```

• This creates two separate files myFile.o and myFile2.o which are not complete programs and cannot be executed.

Linking continued

- To make an executable, we must link multiple object files together: gcc myFile.o myFile2.o -o myExecutable
- This analyzes the object files listed, determines which functions are defined in each of them, and which functions are called by each of them.
- Any references from one object file to another object file's function(s) are replaced with appropriate machine code calls.
- If any references are not found, the linker will crash with Undefined reference and should tell you which file called the function whose definition was not found.
- If this happens, you need to include the file in which the function *is* defined in the linking command.

Libraries

- As well as your own functions, you may wish to call functions from other libraries (LAPACK, BLAS, etc.)
- For these, shared-object files are usually present on the computer.
- Look in /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/ for many examples, e.g. /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libblas.so
- On my system (Ubuntu 20.04), this is eventually symlinked to /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/openblas-pthread/libblas.so.3
- To link to this library, add the option -lblas when linking.
- Other Linux OS will have libraries in different places.
- E.g. CSD3 has /usr/lib64/libblas.so.3.8.0.

- The linker will look for libblas.so in all available library directories, mainly /usr/lib, and any others you specify.
- For the full list, run gcc -print-search-dirs
- If you have multiple versions of a library installed, or if they are installed in non-standard places, you need to tell the linker where to find the library.
- Pass -L/opt/path/to/blas/lib where this defines where the file libblas.so can be found.

In summary:

gcc myFile.o -L /opt/blas-intel/lib -lblas -o myProgram

will link to the version of BLAS in /opt/blas-intel/lib.

Run-time libraries

- Even though you have specified at linking where the library is, you must still specify its location at run-time.
- Linux will look in all directories in the LD_LIBRARY_PATH environment variable for the correct libraries.
- If a library is not found, Linux will give: EulerSolver_1D: error while loading shared libraries: libblas.so: cannot open shared object file: No such file or directory
- Usually, you set up your LD_LIBRARY_PATH in your ~/.bashrc file using appropriate export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=/opt/blas-intel/lib:\$LD_LIBRARY_PATH commands
- The ~/.bashrc file is executed whenever a terminal is opened (or ssh session started).

- The compiler can usually make very good attempts at optimizing your code, but it is not a mind reader.
- It is best to make your code as simple as possible, so that the compiler can find the optimizations it can make.
- Pass -0 < n > where n = 0, 1, 2, 3 for different levels of optimization.
- Further optimization options are available, see http://gcc.gnu.org for details.

Machine specific optimization

- Much of the improvement in processors in the past 20 years has been due to extra instruction types.
- For example, if appropriate, a compiler can generate instructions to operate on 4 floats at once (SSE instructions).
- If you know that your code will only be running on processors with these instructions, you can pass -msse, -msse3, -mavx, etc. options to allow the compiler to generate these instructions.
- This means that your code will only run on processors with these instructions, though (watch out for SIGILL).
- This may or may not produce significant speed-up, depending on your code.
- Some codes cannot easily be vectorized and so may not benefit from these instructions.

- Over the years, many heuristic optimization techniques have been developed.
- These will usually help performance, although some may hinder it.
- Not all optimizations may apply to your problem; the compiler tries to determine which are appropriate.

Inlining

- When calling a function, code must be generated to store the current function location on the stack, allocate space for local variables, and move the execution point to the new function.
- If the function is called often enough, and is very simple, this generates a lot of overhead.
- The compiler can copy the function contents to the place from which it is called, and avoid the overhead.
- Downside: this may increase the program size slightly.
- In general, this can only be done if the function calling and the function called are in the same source-file (or one is in a header file)
- Compilers (gcc, Intel) do now have inter-procedural-optimization (IPO) to inline functions between separate object files, but this can be very expensive at link-time.

Constant propagation

- If an expression is reused within many calculations, the compiler *may* be able to compute it once and use the result multiple times.
- This can be applied to integer expressions easily, but usually not to floating point ones.
- Integer expressions may enter into loop-ranges, array offsets/indexes, etc. and may lead to other optimizations being possible.
- For floating-point, it's usually better to do this by hand:

```
const double sin2X = sin(x) * sin(x);
const double result = 10 + 3*sin2X + 8*sin2X*sin2X;
```

• The example above could probably not be done by the compiler since sin must set the global errno flag if x is infinity.

• Constant propagation is more important for memory offsets:

```
const int a = 10;
double b[100];
for(int i=0 ; i < 10 ; i++){
    b[i*a] = i;
}
```

- Here the compiler knows the value of **a** and could therefore pre-compute **i*****a** and determine memory offsets at compile-time.
- (Whether it does so depends on the compiler itself.)

```
• The loop
    for(int i=0; i < 5; i++){
        a[i] = 2*b[i];
     }</pre>
```

has its number of iterations known at compile-time.

- The compiler can avoid creating and testing i by turning the loop body into 5 separate instructions.
- The compiler may then be able to do further optimizations on the remaining instructions.

Partial loop unrolling

• Even for loops where the number of iterations is unknown, the compiler may be able to do partial unrolling:

```
for(int i=0 ; i < N ; i++){
    a[i] = 2*b[i];
}</pre>
```

becomes

```
for(int i=0 ; i < N ; i+=4){
    a[i] = 2*b[i];
    a[i+1] = 2*b[i+1];
    a[i+2] = 2*b[i+2];
    a[i+3] = 2*b[i+3];
}</pre>
```

(with allowances for N not being divisible by 4).

• This reduces the number of increment-and-test instructions for i.

• If there are no data dependencies between separate loop iterations, then the compiler may be able to use vectorized instructions:

```
float a[4], b[4], c[4];
for(int i=0; i < 4; i++){
    a[i] = b[i] + c[i];
}</pre>
```

• This can be done using a single SSE instruction: addps Add Packed Scalar.

• Compilers can be confused by non-simple constructs:

```
bool doneOneIter=false;
for(int i=0 ; !doneOneIter || i != 0 ; i=(i+7) % 20){
    a[i] = 2*b[i];
    doneOneIter = true;
}
```

is equivalent to the same loop from 0 to 19 (inclusive) but the compiler can't tell that (probably).

Aliasing

```
• Specific to C/C++ is the issue of aliasing:
```

```
void f(int *a, int *b, int *c) {
  for(int i=0; i < 4; i++) {
    a[i] = b[i] + c[i];
  }
}</pre>
```

- This cannot (probably) be optimized into an SSE instruction by the compiler because it does not know whether a, b, and c are distinct arrays.
- We could have called the function as

f(&a[0], &a[2], &a[3]);

which is valid C, but means that the loop iterations are no longer independent, and vectorizing it would be incorrect.

Strength reduction

- One technique that a compiler cannot usually apply is that of strength reduction.
- This means replacing one arithmetic expression by a mathematically equivalent, but less expensive, one.
- For example:

 $a = pow(x, 2) - 1 == x \cdot x - 1 == (x+1) \cdot (x-1)$

- The compiler cannot do this itself because the result may be numerically different (finite precision).
- You may need to worry about floating-point accuracy.
- The compiler can do some strength reduction:

a = c / 4; a = c >> 2; // right-shift by 2 bits

are equivalent for integers, and the second may be less expensive.

- It is possible to compile code on one machine architecture for a completely different one.
- For example, to compile 32-bit code on a 64-bit machine, or AMD code on an Intel machine
- Or, if you really want, to compile a Windows-compatible executable on a Linux machine.
- This is for specialists only. If you really need it, consult the gcc manual.

You will have noticed that compiling and linking large programs takes many lines of shell script:

```
gcc -c main.c -o main.o
gcc -c ODEs.c -o ODE.o
gcc -c output.c -o output.o
gcc main.o ODE.o output.o -o ODEsolver -lm
```

You could put all these into a shell-script that you run every time you change a single file.

But, wouldn't it be nice if you could only recompile the files that needed it?

- The make utility was invented in the 1970s as part of Unix.
- The most widely used implementation of this is GNUmake which is available on most Linux systems.
- It is capable of scheduling the commands required to generate a file, based on its declared dependencies, and whether these have changed more recently than the file.
- It uses a text-based Makefile, which lays out the rules for which files have which dependencies, and what commands need to be executed.

- When make is executed, it looks for files in the current directory called makefile, Makefile, or GNUMakefile.
- If one of these is found, it is processed by make.
- A typical usage might be:

make clean
make euler_2D

A rule in make takes the form

Note that each command n line begins with a TAB. This is important, and a common source of errors as spaces look like a TAB in many editors.

If spaces are used in place of a TAB, either instructions will not be carried out as you expect, or you may get the message

which is at least reasonably helpful.

An example of a rule to make an object file would be:

```
euler_2D.o : euler_2D.c euler_2D.h
gcc -c euler_2D.c -o euler_2D.o -O3
```

which will trigger if either euler_2D.c or euler_2D.h is changed. A rule to make an executable would be

```
euler_2D : euler_2D.o
gcc euler_2D.o -o euler_2D -lm -lhdf
```

So, if you change either of the source-files, and run make euler_2D, then the executable will be recompiled.

In the context of a rule, some variables are automatically defined:

- ${\scriptstyle \bullet }$ \$@ The filename of the target
- < The filename of the first prerequisite
- \$^- The filenames of all prerequisites
- \$? The filenames of all prerequisites newer than the target
- * The stem of the target filename

euler_2D.o : euler_2D.c euler_2D.h gcc -c \$< -o \$@ -O3

is equivalent to the first rule on the previous slide.

For a project with many files to compile, specifying each file's rule separately would become tedious and error-prone. Instead we could use

```
%.o : %.c
gcc −c $< −o $@ −O3
```

which will make all object files depend on their corresponding source file and compile accordingly.

Extra effort is required to make object files depend on all their correct header files.

The rule to make the final executable:

```
euler_2D : euler_2D.o RK2.o settings.o output.o
gcc $^ -lm -o $0
```

and if these were the only two rules, Make would compile and link euler_2D correctly.

Within Makefiles, variables can be set and read:

```
CXX=g++

CXXFLAGS=-03 -Wall -Wextra -g

%.o : %.c

$(CXX) -c $< -o $@ $(CXXFLAGS)

CXXLIBS=-1m

euler_2D : euler_2D.o RK2.o settings.o output.o

gcc $^ $(CXXLIBS) -o $@
```

Any variable names could be used, but these are standard ones.

Silent commands

- You often want to only output the actual command-output, not the command itself.
- For example, if you have turned on all compiler warnings, you want to see only those (or preferably their absence!), and not the long list of compiler options.
- To do this, prefix a command by @:

would only output "Compiling Output.C" if there were no compiler errors or warnings.

• The \setminus is a line continuation character.

- Since multiple files can be compiled at once, we may wish to use our multi-core processor.
- make -j < n > will launch at most n command rules at once.
- If command rules can be executed independently (according to the dependency-graph), then they will be.
- Try using n to be the same as the number of CPU-cores.
- Actual speed-up may depend on input/output performance of your disk/file-system, which may now be the bottle-neck.

- Make has substantially more features than I have described here.
- It permits macros, loops, and functions, and is Turing complete.
- For full documentation, go to http://www.gnu.org/software/make/manual/