Introduction to Modern Fortran

External Names, Make and Linking

Nick Maclaren

nmm1@cam.ac.uk

March 2014

Introduction to Modern Fortran - p. 1/??

Introduction

Some of this copies parts of the modules lecture It would be too confusing otherwise

External Procedures (1)

A file can contain more than one module Also procedures, not included in modules These are called external procedures

SUBROUTINE dongle

END SUBROUTINE dongle SUBROUTINE mangle

END SUBROUTINE mangle

PROGRAM is always an external procedure

External Procedures (2)

- They are not recommended in most cases It's much harder to get right, and link correctly
- But a lot of older programs do it

Same mechanism as used to call external libraries And code written in C or using a C interface LAPACK, MPI, . . .

External Procedures (3)

This is a little better, and useful for testing

But note that the order is critical

MODULE whatever

END MODULE whatever

MODULE whatsit USE whatever

END MODULE whatsit

PROGRAM mangle USE whatsit

END PROGRAM mangle

Compiling Modules (1)

This is a FAQ – Frequently Asked Question The problem is the answer isn't simple

• That is why I give some of the advice that I do

The following advice will not always work OK for most compilers, but not necessarily all

• This is only the Fortran module information

And it doesn't apply to IBM AIX . . .

Compiling Modules (2)

The module name need not be the file name Doing that is strongly recommended, though

The same applies to external procedures

You now compile the file, but don't link it nagfor –C=all –c mymod.f90

It will create files like mymod.mod and mymod.o They contain the interface and the code Procedures create only code files (mymod.o)

Will describe the process in more detail later

Using Compiled Modules

All the program needs is the USE statements

- Compile all of the modules in a dependency order If A contains USE B, compile B first
- Then add a *.o for every file when linking

nagfor –C=all –o main main.f90 mymod.o

nagfor -C=all -o main main.f90 \
mod_a.o mod_b.o mod_c.o

Makefile Warnings

This does NOT teach how to use make It teaches just the Fortran–specific aspects

See Building, installing and running software If you haven't been to it, DO SO before starting!

The defaults for \$(FC) and \$(FFLAGS) are broken Hopelessly outdated, and no longer work

That applies to both POSIX and GNU make! ⇒ You must set them yourself Or you can use other names, if you prefer

Makefile Basics (1)

Use make in exactly the same way as for C

- Must set \$(FC) and \$(FFLAGS) or whatever
- Modules create both *.mod and *.o files
- Do not need to set LDFLAGS = -Im

Will give a very simple example:

The module file utils.f90 creates a module UTILS And that is used by a program file trivial.f90

Dependencies include both *.mod and *.o files

Makefile Basics (2)

FC = nagforFFLAGS = -C=all

LDFLAGS =

all: trivial

utils.mod utils.o: utils.f90 <tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) -c utils.f90

trivial: utils.mod utils.o trivial.f90 <tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) \$(LDFLAGS) -o trivial trivial.f90 utils.o

Interfaces in Modules

The module can define just the interface The procedure code is supplied elsewhere The interface block comes before CONTAINS

• The best way of calling external procedures Including external libraries, C code etc.

• You had better get them consistent! The interface and code are not checked

• Extract interfaces from procedure code f2f90 can do it automatically

Cholesky Decomposition

```
SUBROUTINE CHOLESKY(A)
    USE double ! note that this has been added
    INTEGER :: J, N
    REAL(KIND=dp) :: A(:, :), X
    N = UBOUND(A, 1)
    DOJ = 1, N
         X = SQRT(A(J, J) - \&
         DOT_PRODUCT(A(J, :J-1), A(J, :J-1)))
        A(J,J) = X
        IF (J < N) &
             A(J+1:, J) = (A(J+1:, J) - \&
             MATMUL(A(J+1:, :J-1), A(J, :J-1))) / X
    END DO
END SUBROUTINE CHOLESKY
```

The Interface Module

MODULE MYLAPACK INTERFACE SUBROUTINE CHOLESKY (A) USE double ! part of the interface IMPLICIT NONE REAL(KIND=dp) :: A(:, :) END SUBROUTINE CHOLESKY END INTERFACE ! This is where CONTAINS would go if needed END MODULE MYLAPACK

The Main Program

```
PROGRAM MAIN
    USE double
    USE MYLAPACK
    REAL(KIND=dp) :: A(5,5) = 0.0_dp, Z(5)
    DO N = 1,10
        CALL RANDOM_NUMBER(Z)
        DO I = 1,5; A(:,I) = A(:,I) + Z Z(I);
                                          END DO
    END DO
    CALL CHOLESKY(A)
    DO I = 1,5; A(:I-1,I) = 0.0; END DO
    WRITE (*, '(5(1X,5F10.6/))') A
END PROGRAM MAIN
```

The Makefile

FC = nagfor FFLAGS = -C=all LDFLAGS =

all: program

cholesky.o: cholesky.f90 <tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) -c cholesky.f90

mylapack.mod mylapack.o: mylapack.f90 <tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) -c mylapack.f90

program: cholesky.o mylapack.mod mylapack.o program.f90 <tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) \$(LDFLAGS) –o program.f90 \ <tab> cholesky.o mylapack.o

External Names (1)

The following names are global identifiers All module names All external procedure names Old Fortran COMMON blocks

• They must all be distinct And remember their case is not significant

• Avoid using any built-in procedure names That works, but it is too easy to make errors

External Names (2)

C and C interfaces add more: Some BIND(C) names (see later) C file scope extern declarations Almost all C library functions

Also many C programmers are sloppy
 Undocumented external names are common mistakes

Few people have trouble with pure Fortran code

Build Warnings

Avoid file names like fred.f90 AND external names like FRED Unless FRED is inside fred.f90

It also helps a lot when hunting for FRED

This has nothing at all to do with Fortran It is something that implementations get wrong Especially the fancier sort of debuggers It applies just as much to C code

More on Makefiles

It's useful to know a bit more about makefiles The remainder is some of the how they work

What Compilers Do (1)

A file frederick.f90 contains modules fred and alf You compile this with:

nagfor –C=all –c frederick.f90

It will create files frederick.o, fred.mod and alf.mod

• frederick.o contains the compiled code Link this into into the executable, in the usual way:

nagfor –C=all program program.f90 frederick.o

What Compilers Do (2)

- fred.mod and alf.mod contain the interfaces Think of them as being a sort of compiled header
- You don't do anything with these, explicitly The compiler will do find them and use them

A file program.f90 contains USE fred and USE alf

• The compiler will search for fred.mod and alf.mod

Searched for using the same paths as headers To add another search path, use –I<directory>

• Be warned – compilers vary – see their docs

Makefile Rules (1)

You need to set up rules to compile the modules And to add dependencies to ensure they are rebuilt

• Dependencies are exactly like headers The object file has a dependency on the module

A lot of people forget about headers in makefiles

Doing that with modules is disastrous

Gets the compiled code out of step with the interface E.g. gets the new fred.o and the old fred.mod

Makefile Rules (2)

A file program.f90 contains USE fred and USE alf Modules fred and alf are in files fred.f90 and alf.f90 This is how you set up the dependency and rules:

program: program.o fred.o alf.o
<tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) \$(LDFLAGS) -o program

program.o: program.f90 fred.mod alf.mod
<tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) -c program.f90

fred.mod fred.o: fred.f90
<tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) -c fred.f90

alf.mod alf.o: alf.f90 <tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) -c fred.f90

Makefile Rules (3)

Say frederick.f90 contains modules fred and alf and includes the statement USE double

program: program.o frederick.o double.o
<tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) \$(LDFLAGS) -o program

program.o: program.f90 fred.mod alf.mod
<tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) -c program.f90

double.mod double.o: double.f90
<tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) -c double.f90

fred.mod alf.mod frederick.o: frederick.f90 double.mod <tab> \$(FC) \$(FFLAGS) -c double.f90

Doing Better (1)

Can clean up the Makefile somewhat, fairly easily

E.g. use the \$@, \$< and \$* macros But take care, as things are a little tricky

• Problem is one module file produces two results And headers are not compiled, but modules are

It's still a bit tedious with a lot of modules

Doing Better (2)

You can do a good deal better, but it's advanced use Beyond Building, installing and running software

Need either inference rules or pattern rules Worse, POSIX and GNU are wildly different

It can be done, and it's not even very difficult

But it is very system-dependent!