Introduction to Modern Fortran

Interoperability with C

Nick Maclaren

nmm1@cam.ac.uk

March 2014

Introduction to Modern Fortran – p. 1/??

Background

Mixed-language programming is ancient technology Traditionally done by non-portable hacking and worse

Fortran 2003 has defined a proper interface to C Extended in TS 29113 – mentioned later But the old rule number one still holds:

• KISS – Keep It Simple and Stupid

Be 'clever' and your program will go wrong Probably not while debugging, but in actual use

Why Interoperate with C? (1)

Often to get access to system interfaces Or to extend the intrinsic functions

Functions are typically very simple in both cases

E.g. get high-precision (microsecond) timestamp Get environment variable, or invoke command Fortran 2003 provides intrinsics to do the latter

Also, in order to use C for specialised I/O This is how MPI etc. are implemented

• I do NOT advise calling GUI libraries this way

Why Interoperate with C? (2)

C and C++ often need to call Fortran

Fortran has a wider range of faster numeric libraries This is not just for historical reasons

Array handling in C and C++ is painful It is often easier and runs faster using Fortran

Especially true if you need to use OpenMP

That is why LAPACK etc. are often in Fortran

Merging Applications

Building a single program out of two or more Where they are in a mixture of languages Also calling a major library from another language

E.g. HPC code calling GUI libraries In general, using modern Fortran and C++

• Strongly advise you to avoid doing this Always tricky – and can be fiendish

I am not going to describe the problems that arise

Multi-Program Applications

Better to build a multi-process application

MultiApplics/

• May need to write special I/O functions But that is generally easier (see above)!

Recommended for using GUI interfaces in HPC

Apologia

This lecture is a gross over-simplification The area has always been diabolically complicated

• This maps a safe path through the minefield There is a huge amount more that it doesn't mention

• The languages have incompatible concepts And implementations have a zillion variants Also operating system variants, especially linker

And it doesn't even mention more than the basics

A Quiz

How are these implemented? Are you sure?

```
float fred ( char c , float f , int i [ 5 ] );
char joe ( );
```

```
FUNCTION Alf (a,b,c,d)
COMPLEX :: Alf, a
INTEGER (INTENT = IN) :: b(3), c
CHARACTER (LEN = 52) :: d
END FUNCTION Alf
```

Don't stop after the first 2–3 answers :–) No, I am NOT joking – so program defensively

Fortran to C Interoperability

Fortran standard is unexpectedly restrictive Most of its restrictions are to enable portable coding

It is easily misinterpretable by C programmers Regrettably, that means by most compiler developers

Important Note:

These are not mainly due to the design of Fortran More the C standard and operating systems

• The main reason this lecture says what it does Write defensive code and you will rarely have trouble

The C Standard

• Using two C compilers has similar problems Implementation variations in C alone are incredible Can hit them using libraries, even the system's ones

• You will rarely do so under Linux on x86 etc. Every C vendor aims for gcc compatibility

C is often said to be a simple language
That is not true, and has not been for 20+ years
The reasons and problems are subtle and arcane

And C++ is is 3–5 times as complicated as Fortran

Basic Model

It defines Fortran kinds that map to C types

There is an intrinsic module to define the names USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING And a BIND(C) attribute to specify C linkage

• Without it, Fortran does NOT define linkage Arguments are not always passed as addresses Derived types are not always laid out as written

Fortran allows much more optimisation than C/C++

Use of the Module

Compilers are allowed to define extra names Also, future versions of the standards will do so So it is strongly advised to use ONLY after USE

USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING, & ONLY C_PTR

Remember **IMPORT** for use in interface bodies

• As usual, I won't do that in these slides Any omission in specimen answers is a bug

Recommended Data Types

FortranC $C \in CHARACTER(KIND=C_CHAR)$ char $\Rightarrow Note that char implies LEN=1$ $INTEGER(KIND=C_INT)$ int $INTEGER(KIND=C_LONG)$ long $REAL(KIND=C_DOUBLE)$ double $TYPE(C_PTR)$ void *

The most useful, and the safest Compilation error if no match (KIND is -1) Many others, but all have subtle gotchas

Fortran Default Types

• Currently, these are NOT interoperable In practice, the following equivalences hold:

Fortran Default Type	Interop. KIND	C type
CHARACTER	C_CHAR	char
INTEGER	C_INT	int
REAL	C_FLOAT	float
REAL(KIND(0.0D0))	C_DOUBLE	double

Can pass them to C using C_LOC and C_PTR COMPLEX also carries across, where relevant

Function Result Types

Can be only scalars, because of C constraints
Only four types are really safe, unfortunately
In C terms, int, long, double and void *
I.e. C_INT, C_LONG, C_DOUBLE and C_PTR

Can return char, _Complex and derived types → But don't bet on them actually working :-(Same applies to float and C's zoo of integer types

• Due to compiler bugs, and may be temporary The reasons have nothing to do with Fortran

Simple C Functions

Must use an explicit interface with BIND(C)

```
FUNCTION Joe () BIND (C)
REAL (KIND = C_INT) :: Joe
END SUBROUTINE Joe
```

PRINT *, Joe()

Can be used to call a C external function Note the name is converted to lower-case for C

int joe (void) { . . . }

High-Precision Timestamp

Returns the current time to microsecond precision Just like MPI_Wtimer, but more general

/* Return high-precision timestamp. */
#include <stddef.h>
#include <sys/time.h>
double gettime (void) {
 struct timeval timer ;
 if (gettimeofday(& timer , NULL))
 return - 1.0 ;
 return timer . tv_sec +
 1.0e-6 * timer . tv_usec ;
}

Using the Timestamp

```
PROGRAM Timer
    USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING,
                                           &
        ONLY: C DOUBLE
    INTERFACE
        FUNCTION Gettime () BIND (C)
            IMPORT :: C DOUBLE
            REAL (KIND = C_DOUBLE) Gettime
        END FUNCTION Gettime
    FND INTERFACE
    REAL (KIND = KIND(0.0D0)) :: stamp
    stamp = Gettime () ! This converts the KIND
    CALL Calculation
    PRINT *, "Time taken: ", Gettime () – stamp
END PROGRAM Timer
```

Arguments

Normally passed as pointers to the first element Applies to both scalars and arrays

Only explicit size and assumed size arrays No assumed shape, ALLOCATABLE or POINTER And CHARACTER must have LEN=1 (or default)

But association lets you pass those types
 What you can't do is to use them in the interface

Procedure arguments are not allowed (but see later)

Interoperable Procedures (1)

Subroutines correspond to void functions

```
INTERFACE

SUBROUTINE Fred (A, B) BIND (C)

IMPORT :: C_INT

INTEGER (KIND = C_INT) :: A, B

END SUBROUTINE Fred

END INTERFACE
```

void fred (int * p, int * q) {

}

Interoperable Procedures (2)

In exactly the same way, the C prototype:

```
void fred ( int * , int * ) ;
```

Can call the Fortran external procedure:

SUBROUTINE Fred (A, B) BIND (C) INTEGER (KIND = C_INT) :: A, B END SUBROUTINE Fred Interoperable Procedures (3)

You can name the C function you call:

SUBROUTINE John () BIND (C , NAME = 'Doe') END SUBROUTINE John

Interoperates with:

```
void Doe();
```

Note that this form does not lower case the string Can also use when the C name is invalid in Fortran

INTENT(IN) and const

C const is not the same as INTENT(IN) But, for pointer arguments, it is similar in purpose You are recommended to match interfaces like this

SUBROUTINE Pete (A, B, C) BIND (C) REAL (KIND = C_DOUBLE), INTENT (IN) :: A INTEGER (KIND = C_INT), INTENT (IN) :: B REAL (KIND = C_DOUBLE) :: C END SUBROUTINE Pete

Coming Fairly Shortly

The above has used only facilities in Fortran 2003 TS 29113 extends it for arguments of procedures

Arguments can be assumed shape, assumed rank, ALLOCATABLE, POINTER, and assumed length CHARACTER C interfaces provided to access such types

No change to return types or external data nor procedure pointer arguments and variables I hope to improve this area in the next standard

Other Procedures

In some cases, omitting the BIND(C) will work But only in some cases, and only with some compilers

It is not recommended and not portable But here is an old course that describes it

MixedLang/

• If possible, convert to the standard mechanism

Arrays (1)

In general, arrays must be explicit shape And their shapes must match in Fortran and C Remember that array order is the other way round

Corresponds to:

```
int a [13][221][42];
```

Sequence association relaxes this in some contexts Treats that as a vector of length 42*221*13

Arrays (2)

In arguments, they may also be assumed size

INTEGER (KIND = C_INT) :: A (31, 100, *)

Corresponds to:

```
int a [][100][31];
int (* a)[100][31];
```

And, when used in appropriate ways only:

```
int a [];
int * a;
int a [][155];
int a [][5][2][31][2];
```

CHARACTER (1)

Unfortunately, only LEN=1 is fully interoperable

The length is very like a first dimension And remember the rules of sequence association

```
SUBROUTINE Fred (N, A) BIND (C)
INTEGER (KIND = C_INT) :: N
CHARACTER (KIND = C_CHAR) :: A (N)
END SUBROUTINE Fred
```

```
CHARACTER (KIND = C_CHAR, LEN = 72) :: A (100)
CALL Fred (72, A) ! This will work
```

CHARACTER (2)

C strings are null-terminated – Fortran's are not

Remember char[4] is needed to store "123" When moving to Fortran allow strlen()+1 bytes

You may need to add a null character when calling C There is a C_CHAR constant C_NULL_CHAR for this Also C_NEW_LINE and the other C escapes All defined in the module ISO_C_BINDING

Alternatively, pass the length explicitly, as MPI does

VALUE Arguments

Puts value directly into the C argument list

• Other than one use, I advise avoiding VALUE Generally best to write C interface functions yourself Pass all arguments as pointers and convert if needed

C argument passing is far trickier than it seems High chance of a Fortran compiler getting it wrong Problems of both function results and derived types

• It can be done, but tricky to get reliably portable Again, this has nothing to do with Fortran

Anonymous Pointers (1)

TYPE(C_PTR) is equivalent of C void * C_PTR can be assigned, used as arguments Can even be used as the result type of functions

C_LOC intrinsic gets an address as a C_PTR Needs either TARGET or POINTER attribute Strictly, this example needs TS 29113, but works now

TYPE(C_PTR) :: ptr INTEGER, TARGET :: array (1000) ptr = C_LOC (array)

Anonymous Pointers (2)

With VALUE, can pass address of most variables

```
USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING,
                                     &
    ONLY: C_INT, C_PTR, C_LOC
INTERFACE
    SUBROUTINE Weeble (n, a) BIND (C)
        IMPORT :: C_INT, C_PTR
        INTEGER (KIND = C_INT), INTENT (IN) :: n
        TYPE (C_PTR), VALUE :: a
    END SUBROUTINE Weeble
END INTERFACE
REAL, TARGET :: array (1000) ! No BIND(C)
CALL Weeble (1000, C_LOC (array))
```

```
void weeble ( int * n , void * b) ;
```

Anonymous Pointers (3)

A null pointer constant called C_NULL_PTR

- Recommended for initialising C_PTR
- C_PTR does not initialise automatically

Test for null or identical using C_ASSOCIATED

```
TYPE(C_PTR) :: ptr1, ptr2, ptr3

ptr1 = function (1)

ptr2 = function (2)

IF (C_ASSOCIATED (ptr1))... !Non-null

IF (C_ASSOCIATED (ptr1, ptr2))... !Identical

IF (C_ASSOCIATED (ptr3))... !Undefined (error)
```

Horrible Warning

It is an error if the objects merely overlap
 Or if either argument doesn't have a valid value
 Including when it has been deallocated
 This applies in C, too – did you know?

```
INTEGER (KIND = C_INT), POINTER :: array (:)

TYPE(C_PTR) :: ptr1, ptr2

IF (C_ASSOCIATED (ptr1))... ! Undefined (error)

ALLOCATE (array (1000))

ptr1 = C_LOC (array )

ptr2 = C_LOC (array (: 500))

IF (C_ASSOCIATED (ptr1, ptr2))... ! Undefined (error)

DEALLOCATE (array)

IF (C_ASSOCIATED (ptr2))... ! Undefined (error)
```

Anonymous Pointers (4)

Can associate a Fortran pointer with a C_PTR value If it is an array, you must also specify its shape

Be warned – you get no type checking
 The equivalent of casting void * to a typed pointer

Derived Types (1)

Simple cases map onto C structures C++ PODs are the idea – Plain Old Data

Only interoperable component types No ALLOCATABLE or POINTER components

Derived types allowed as components, as in C None of the more advanced properties None have been covered in this course

Explicit shape arrays are allowed, just as in C Remember that array order is the other way round

Derived Types (2)

Unfortunately, C struct layout is a can of worms Theoretically, the Fortran and C compilers match In practice, that's far too optimistic The problems are far too complicated to describe

• KISS – i.e. make it easy for the compiler

Put larger base types before smaller ones E.g. double before int before char Will maximise the chance of reliable portability Will usually maximise the code's efficiency, too

Example

```
TYPE, BIND (C) :: Packrat

REAL (KIND = C_DOUBLE) :: array (40, 15)

INTEGER (KIND = C_INT) :: code

CHARACTER (KIND = C_CHAR) :: message (72 + 1)

END TYPE Packrat
```

```
typedef struct {
    double array [ 15 ] [ 40 ] ;
    int code ;
    char message [ 72 + 1 ] ;
}
```

External Data (1)

Variables in modules can be accessed from C Any with BIND(C) map to an external variable Ones without it do not create an external name

MODULE Conglomerate USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING INTEGER, ALLOCATABLE :: array (:,:) REAL (KIND = C_DOUBLE), BIND (C) :: visible END MODULE Conglomerate

visible can be accessed from C by:

extern double visible ;

External Data (2)

You can name the external variable, as before You can initialise it in either Fortran or C But you mustn't do that in both, of course

MODULE Whatever INTEGER (KIND = C_INT), & BIND (C, NAME = 'Fred_3') :: x INTEGER (KIND = C_INT), BIND (C) :: PDQ = 456 END MODULE Whatever

extern int Fred_3 = 987 ; extern int pdq ;

Complex Numbers

Fortran interoperates with C99 <u>Complex</u> Sadly, C99 <u>Complex</u> is horribly misdesigned Few people use it – so WG14 has made it optional

• I don't advise using it for function results Nor for arguments that use the VALUE attribute It will work with some compilers and not others You don't want to know why, I can assure you

In practice, C++ complex has the same layout But it is NOT fully compatible with C99 _Complex

Other Data Types

I don't advise these as result types or with VALUE Fine as pointer arguments or in external data

FortranCINTEGER(KIND=C_SIGNED_CHAR)signed charINTEGER(KIND=C_SHORT)shortINTEGER(KIND=C_LONG_LONG)long longREAL(KIND=C_FLOAT)floatCOMPLEX(KIND=C_FLOAT)complex floatCOMPLEX(KIND=C_DOUBLE)complex double

Other C Integer Types

You can pass unsigned integers as signed ones
But stick to the values that are valid in both
Fortran will always treat the values as signed

- Fortran has size_t but not ptrdiff_t But size_t is an unsigned integer type!
- ptrdiff_t/size_t aren't a signed/unsigned pair But they will be in most implementations

C99 has a zoo of extended integer types

- Avoid them in interfaces even in pure C
- C specification is poor, and implementations differ

Procedure Pointers (1)

TYPE(C_FUNPTR) is an untyped procedure pointer In C, all function pointers are compatible I.e. they are different types, but with typeless values

The procedure must be fully interoperable
 Not just BIND(C), but in C and C++, too
 ⇒ No inline, <stdarg.h> or C++ member functions

Use TYPE(C_FUNPTR), VALUE for arguments You use C_FUNLOC just like C_LOC Remember that C function type syntax is weird Procedure Pointers (2)

There is a constant C_NULL_FUNPTR C_ASSOCIATED also works on TYPE(C_FUNPTR) C_F_PROCPOINTER converse of C_FUNLOC

Procedure pointers and untyped values are both tricky
⇒ Both together is doubleplus ungood (as in 1984)
This will show the most trivial and safest uses

BIND(C) internal procedures needs Fortran 2008 Few compilers allow them yet, though gfortran does

Fortran to C (1)

This subroutine just calls its argument

SUBROUTINE Marshall (arg) BIND (C) INTERFACE SUBROUTINE arg () BIND (C) END SUBROUTINE arg END INTERFACE CALL arg END SUBROUTINE Marshall

Fortran to C (2)

The C equivalent of that subroutine is

```
void marshall (void ( * arg ) (void ) ) {
    arg ();
}
```

Examples using internal and external procedures Try them with both the Fortran and C marshall

Fortran to C (3)

```
PROGRAM McLuhan
    USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING,
                                         &
        ONLY : C_FUNPTR , C_FUNLOC
    INTERFACE
        SUBROUTINE Marshall (arg) BIND (C)
            IMPORT :: C FUNPTR
            TYPE (C_FUNPTR), VALUE :: arg
        END SUBROUTINE Marshall
    END INTERFACE
    CALL Marshall (C_FUNLOC (Medium))
CONTAINS
    SUBROUTINE Medium () BIND (C)
         PRINT *, "The medium is the message"
    END SUBROUTINE Medium
END PROGRAM McLuhan
```

Fortran to C (4)

```
PROGRAM McLuhan
    USE, INTRINSIC :: ISO_C_BINDING,
                                         &
        ONLY : C_FUNPTR, C_FUNLOC
    INTERFACE
        SUBROUTINE Medium () BIND (C)
        END SUBROUTINE Medium
        SUBROUTINE Marshall (arg) BIND (C)
            IMPORT :: C FUNPTR
            TYPE (C_FUNPTR), VALUE :: arg
        END SUBROUTINE Marshall
    END INTERFACE
    CALL Marshall (C_FUNLOC (Medium))
END PROGRAM McLuhan
SUBROUTINE Medium () BIND (C)
    PRINT *, "The medium is the message"
END SUBROUTINE Medium
```

C to Fortran

Try this with both the Fortran and C marshall

```
#include <stdio.h>
```

```
extern void marshall ( void (*) ( ) ) ;
void Medium ( void ) {
    printf ( "The medium is the message\n" ) ;
}
int main ( void ) {
    marshall ( Medium ) ;
    return 0 ;
}
```

Practicalities

In theory, that's all – but not in practice The following has little to do with the standards

The most common areas I have seen cause trouble
They are not a complete list of problem areas
Feedback on these guidelines would be appreciated

And remember rule number one:

• KISS – Keep It Simple and Stupid

Compatible Compilers

You need compatible Fortran and C compilers Those from the same vendor usually are E.g. gfortran and gcc or Intel ifort and icc You can sometimes mix vendors, but not always

• Use both in either 32– or 64–bit mode! Make sure the IEEE 754 modes are compatible The same applies to some other compiler options

All this applies to C++ and C, incidentally

Compilation and Linking

Compile all worker code without linking

• Link using compiler for master language

May need extra libraries, especially if C is master Here is a way of find out which ones:

Usually option to display command expansion -v, -V, -#, -dryrun etc.

Link a dummy program using both compilers Add any missing ones to (master) link command

GNU and Linux on Intel/AMD

Generally, the following will work:

gcc -c <other options> fred.c joe.c gfortran <other options> alf.f90 bert.f90 \ fred.o joe.o

and:

gfortran -c <other options> alf.f90 bert.f90 gcc <other options> fred.c joe.c \ alf.o bert.o -lgfortran -lm

You should put this in a Makefile, of course

Name Clashes (1)

Any external names in Fortran and C can clash Fortran external procedures, COMMON and modules whether or not they have the BIND(C) attribute

Together with any C extern functions and variables Remember extern is the default in file scope

• Avoid same name even when ignoring case Don't use underscores at the beginning or end

Compilers vary a lot on name munging rules It's a bad idea to rely on that to protect your code

Name Clashes (2)

The really nasty problems occur with the libraries All C library functions are all external names And remember that C++ includes the C library

Some variables, like errno and math_errhandling Occasionally even POSIX ones, like environ

• Try to avoid all plausible external names The Fortran language no longer has any But C and POSIX do, and Microsoft may

Fortran and C++

Both of these can interoperate via C, in theory

Unfortunately, C++ insists on being master
 Roughly corresponds to owning the main program
 May also involve owning the memory management

Mixing them is very compiler-dependent
 Both need to be initialised and terminated properly
 Defined interfaces for this are now very rare

Many other issues, but most are mentioned later

Fortran is the Master (1)

- Generally, I recommend using this approach The main exception is if you need to use C++
- Let's start by assuming a Unix–like system In this context, Microsoft and Macintosh are Unix–like

Avoid using stdin, stdout and even stderr stderr is the safest if you don't use ERROR_UNIT

But it's very compiler-dependent what works

Opening other files using C or POSIX is OK

Fortran is the Master (2)

Most of the C library works, including <time.h>

<stdlib.h> is the main problem (but see later)
 Don't expect atexit() etc. to work, though it may
 Occasionally used by a few libraries written in C
 Anything may happen if you call exit() etc.

malloc() will work, if you don't push it too hard getenv() and system() almost always work

But what if the system isn't Unix–like at all?
 Avoid <stdio.h>, <stdlib.h>, <time.h> or ask for help

C or C++ is the Master

Calling the Fortran 77 subset almost always safe Fortran 90 facilities can be used with care

• Don't use any of Fortran's standard I/O units In rare cases, Fortran I/O won't work at all

If you are very unlucky, ALLOCATE won't work That could also cause a few other things to fail

Call C to get at the program environment For example, GET_COMMAND probably won't work

I/O

Only the master will close files at termination
The worker must close its files explicitly That's generally good practice, even for the master

Use a unit/file from one language only
 Never try to share stdin between languages
 Best not to share stdout or stderr, either

The main problem is how to produce diagnostics You can't control ones from the run-time systems Will often get mangled, and may even get lost

Shared Output

Can sometimes relax for stdout and stderr Unix-like systems and GNU-like compilers only Using stderr and ERROR_UNIT will often work

 Write complete lines and transfer immediately In Fortran use FLUSH after every transfer
 In C, use line buffering (setvbuf/_IOLBF) or flush()

Never reposition or change any other I/O modes
 C++ cerr and stderr or ERROR_UNIT is risky

• Very compiler dependent and may fail horribly

Shared Memory Parallelism

• Use threading only in the master language Compile the worker language using serial options Remember that threading may call it in parallel

You can use a threaded worker from a serial master It's actually how SMP libraries are implemented Doing that is compiler-dependent and for experts only

 Avoid C++11 threading – ask offline for why It's not for use by mere mortals – I would have trouble

• Don't share I/O across threads/processes

MPI and Distributed Memory

Each process runs separately, usually serially

• Using interoperability isn't a problem

Signal Handling

Never trap an error signal (SIGFPE etc.)
 And don't even think of calling raise or abort
 You can trap a non-error signal, set a flag and return

```
static volatile sig_atomic_t flag ;
```

```
void handler ( int sig ) {
   flag = 1 ;
}
```

(void) signal (SIG_INT, handler);

Beyond that Beware of the Dragons

Avoid like the Plague

 I strongly recommend not using C99 <fenv.h> Interacts horribly with both Fortran and C++ (sic) The Fortran modules IEEE_... are much saner
 But non-trivial use may cause C to misbehave

• Never return across a Fortran procedure I.e. A \Rightarrow Fortran B \Rightarrow C, and C jumps back to A Whether by setjmp/longjmp or C++ throw/catch

And be very cautious when calling POSIX
 Far too complicated to describe what is safe